

Northside Alternative Education

Brian Harbour, PRINCIPAL

Annual Education Report

April 12, 2016

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2014-15 educational progress for Northside Educational Center. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Principal Brian Harbour for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.kpschools.com or you may review a copy from the Educational Center's office at your child's school.

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS - NEC HAS NOT MADE AYP FOR 2 OR MORE YEARS

Northside does not meet the criteria for meeting AYP. Northside Educational Center services over 70 students from 3 neighboring districts. Many of these students lack transportation to and from school, are homeless, and become truant. Our population is very **transient** which does not allow us to accurately compare data year after year.

ANNUAL EDUCATION REPORT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Personal Qualifications of all teachers at Northside Educational Center: 6 teachers have a B.A. and one has a M.A. Degree. All classes are taught by highly qualified teachers. Michigan Merit Exam results: All other subjects less than 10 students were tested. Michigan Merit Exam results for the 2015-16 school year will be sent back to the students home district. Northside on-line curriculum, (Odyssey Ware) is used to aide students in credit recovery.

Although Northside does not meet the criteria for meeting AYP, we are working hard to bring our achievement levels up. We have a comprehensive school improvement plan that is aligned to the State of Michigan Curriculum. Our school continues to focus by providing professional development, utilizing data to help us make decisions that are in the best interest of our students and prioritizing common assessments for the 2015-16 school year.

Sincerely,

Brian Harbour



M-STEP Grades 3-8

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	25.6%	20.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	14.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2013-14	31.2%	21.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	22.4%	13.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	28.7%	27.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	12.9%	11.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	28.5%	22%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	28.8%	23.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	24.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	32.4%	21.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	33.5%	24.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	29.1%	18.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	26.5%	24%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	27.8%	25.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	31.1%	22%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	14.1%	15.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	13%	21.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	3.6%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	4.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Reading	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	58.7%	64.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	58.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	65%	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	62.4%	62%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	55%	68.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	43%	55.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	24.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	49.3%	47%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	54.3%	46.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	55.4%	52.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	43.3%	40.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	34.6%	40.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	12.6%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	29.4%	42.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	28.4%	35.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	27.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	34.2%	42.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	33.4%	36.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	26.7%	34.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	24.6%	37.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	32.1%	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	32.2%	34.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	15.4%	36%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	14.2%	27.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	6.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing	School Year	State Percent		School	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent Not
		Group		Students Proficient	Percent Students Proficient	Percent Students Proficient	Advanced	Proficient	Partially Proficient	Proficient
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	43.9%	45%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	43.9%	45.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	49.4%	44.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	50%	44%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	40.5%	37.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	39.7%	38%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	47.2%	53.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	48.2%	54.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	27.9%	42.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	27.5%	36.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	15%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	10.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	Percent	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Exceeded	Percent Met	Percent Progressing
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	---	---------	--	---------------------	-------------	------------------------



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	80.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	79.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	53.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	84.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	62.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	80.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	79.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	55.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI -Access Supported Independence

Subject Grade	Testing Group School Year	State Percent District Students Percent Proficient Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient		Percent Emerging
---------------	---------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--	---------------------



MI -Access Participation

Subject Grade	Testing Group School Year	State Percent District Students Percent Proficient Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient		Percent Emerging
---------------	---------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--	---------------------



Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
All Students	Mathematics	98.1%	36.5%	98.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A
All Students	ELA	98.1%	48.5%	98.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
All Students	Science	97.5%	22.2%	95.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A
All Students	Social Studies	97.4%	31.8%	97%	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	Mathematics	98.2%	27.8%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	ELA	98%	41.5%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	Science	97.8%	17.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	Social Studies	97.2%	25.2%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	Mathematics	96.5%	13.5%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	ELA	96.5%	24.5%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	Science	95.4%	6.1%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	Social Studies	95.2%	11%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	Mathematics	99.1%	66.3%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	ELA	98.7%	70.2%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	Science	99%	38%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	Social Studies	98.8%	49.6%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Mathematics	98.3%	23.7%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	ELA	98.3%	36.1%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Science	97.9%	11.7%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Social Studies	97.5%	20.2%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Mathematics	99.8%	40.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	ELA	99.3%	53.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Science	99.6%	21.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Social Studies	99.3%	33%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Mathematics	98.5%	33.6%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	ELA	98.5%	46.9%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Science	98.5%	20.1%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Social Studies	98.1%	28.3%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	Mathematics	98.5%	42.5%	98.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	ELA	98.5%	55%	98.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	Science	98.1%	26.6%	95.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	Social Studies	98%	37.3%	96.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Mathematics	97.6%	22.5%	98.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	ELA	97.6%	33.7%	98.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Science	96.8%	11.7%	94.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Social Studies	96.5%	17.8%	96.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	Mathematics	98.6%	20.3%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	ELA	98.2%	24%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	Science	98.2%	3.9%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A



Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*		School Percent Proficient**
English Language Learners	Social Studies	97.9%	8.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Mathematics	97.2%	21.8%	94.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	ELA	96.6%	24.7%	94.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Science	96.5%	15.4%	83.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Social Studies	95%	13.9%	89.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	78.6%	74.1%	N/A
American Indian	64.8%	N/A	N/A
African American	64.5%	N/A	N/A
Asian	89.1%	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	68.8%	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	78.9%	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	74.2%	N/A	N/A
White	82.9%	74.6%	N/A
Female	82.9%	N/A	N/A
Male	74.4%	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	65.6%	70.9%	N/A
English Language Learners	68.2%	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	55.1%	N/A	N/A
Bottom 30%	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	94.7%	94.5%	N/A

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	. 9	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score		Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-----	----------------	------------	--	------------------	--	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------



Accountability Status School Data

Name Name Status Status Score	District Name	Overall Overall Status Score	
---	------------------	------------------------------	--



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	4	3	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	77	34	5
Male Female	51 49	22 23	78 77	36 32	6 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	47 53 #	36 10 ‡	64 90 ‡	17 49 ‡	1 9 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 4 1 # 3	15 53 38 11 ‡	85 47 62 89 ‡ ‡	39 10 21 58 ‡ ‡	5 # 3 19 ‡ ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	47 19	53 81	14 37	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	5 95	42 22	58 78	16 35	1 5

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	39	22	7
Male Female	51 49	31 34	39 39	23 21	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55 #	48 19 ‡	39 40 ‡	12 30 ‡	2 11 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	69 20 4 3 1 #	23 66 38 11 ‡	43 29 44 18 ‡	26 5 15 39 ‡ ‡	7 # 4 32 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	11 89	77 27	19 41	3 24	# 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	54 32	33 39	11 22	2 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	O 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	37	63	29	5
Male Female	50 50	39 34	61 66	26 31	5 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	48 52 #	50 24 ‡	50 76 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 8 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 14 6 4 1 # 3	32 66 49 16 ‡ ‡ 30	68 34 51 84 ‡ †	32 9 17 49 ‡ ‡ 37	6 1 1 15 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	76 32	24 68	7 31	#
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	4 96	52 36	48 64	16 29	2 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	24	44	29	3
Male Female	51 49	29 20	45 42	25 34	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55 #	37 14 ‡	45 43 ‡	17 39 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaska Native Two or More Races	1	18 47 27 13 ‡	44 44 41 35 ‡	34 9 29 41 ‡	3 # 3 10 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	64 20	30 45	5 32	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	57 23	37 44	6 30	# 3

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3		5.2 4.0